Overview

• Stereo Matching
  – Partially based on slides by M. Bleyer, P. Fua, S. Seitz and R. Szeliski

• Structure from Motion
  – Partially based on slides by S. Lazebnik, S. Setiz, N. Snavely and R. Szeliski
Stereo Matching
Stereo Matching

• Given two or more images of the same scene or object, compute a representation of its shape
Stereo Matching

• What are some possible algorithms?
  – match “features” and interpolate
  – match edges and interpolate
  – match all pixels with windows
Rectification

- Project each image onto same plane, which is parallel to the baseline
- Resample lines (and shear/stretch) to place lines in correspondence, and minimize distortion

- Take rectification for granted in this course
Rectification

(a) Original image pair overlayed with several epipolar lines.

(b) Image pair transformed by the specialized projective mapping $H_p$ and $H'_p$. Note that the epipolar lines are now parallel to each other in each image.

BAD!
Rectification

(c) Image pair transformed by the similarity $H$, and $H'$. Note that the image pair is now rectified (the epipolar lines are horizontally aligned).

(d) Final image rectification after shearing transform $H$, and $H'$. Note that the image pair remains rectified, but the horizontal distortion is reduced.

GOOD!
Finding Correspondences

• Apply feature matching criterion at all pixels simultaneously
• Search only over epipolar lines (many fewer candidate positions)
Basic Stereo Algorithm

For each epipolar line
  For each pixel in the left image
    • compare with every pixel on same epipolar line in right image
    • pick pixel with minimum match cost

Improvement: match *windows*
Disparity

• Disparity $d$ is the difference between the $x$ coordinates of corresponding pixels in the left and right image

\[ d = x_L - x_R \]

• Disparity is inversely proportional to depth

\[ Z = \frac{bf}{d} \]
Stereo Reconstruction

\[ Z = \frac{bf}{d} \]
Finding Correspondences

• How do we determine correspondences?
  – *block matching* or *SSD* (sum squared differences)

\[
SSD(x, y; d) = \sum_{(x', y') \in N(x, y)} [I_L(x', y') - I_R(x' - d, y')]^2
\]

  – \(d\) is the *disparity* (horizontal displacement)

• How big should the neighborhood be?
Neighborhood size

• Smaller neighborhood: more details
• Larger neighborhood: fewer isolated mistakes

\[ w = 3 \quad w = 20 \]
Challenges

• Ill-posed inverse problem
  – Recover 3-D structure from 2-D information

• Difficulties
  – Uniform regions
  – Half-occluded pixels
  – Repeated patterns
Pixel Dissimilarity

- Sum of Squared Differences of intensities (SSD)

\[
SSD(x, y; d) = \sum_{(x', y') \in N(x, y)} [I_L(x', y') - I_R(x' - d, y')]^2
\]

- Sum of Absolute Differences of intensities (SAD)

\[
SAD(x, y; d) = \sum_{(x', y') \in N(x, y)} |I_L(x', y') - I_R(x' - d, y')|
\]

- Zero-mean Normalized Cross-correlation (NCC)

\[
NCC(x, y, d) = \sum_{i \in W} \frac{(I_L(x_i, y_i) - \mu_L)(I_R(x_i - d, y_i) - \mu_R)}{\sigma_L \sigma_R}
\]
Cost/Score Curve

NCC
Locally Adaptive Support

Apply weights to contributions of neighboring pixels according to similarity and proximity
Locally Adaptive Support

- Similarity in CIE Lab color space:
  \[ \Delta c_{pq} = \sqrt{(L_p - L_q)^2 + (a_p - a_q)^2 + (b_p - b_q)^2} \]

- Proximity: Euclidean distance

- Weights:
  \[ w(p, q) = k \cdot \exp\left(-\left(\frac{\Delta c_{pq}}{\gamma_c} + \frac{\Delta g_{pq}}{\gamma_p}\right)\right) \]
Locally Adaptive Support: Results
Naïve Stereo Algorithm

• For each pixel $p$ of the left image:
  – Compare color of $p$ against the color of each pixel on the same horizontal scanline in the right image.
  – Select the pixel of most similar color as matching point
Window-Based Matching

- Instead of matching single pixels, center a small window on a pixel and match the whole window in the right image.
Window-Based Matching

• the disparity $d_p$ of a pixel $p$ in the left image is computed as

$$d_p = \arg\min_{0 \leq d \leq d_{\text{max}}} \sum_{q \in W_p} c(q, q - d)$$

• where
  – argmin returns the value at which the function takes a minimum
  – $d_{\text{max}}$ is a parameter defining the maximum disparity (search range)
  – $W_p$ is the set of all pixels inside the window centered on $p$
  – $c(p, q)$ is a function that computes the color difference between a pixel $p$ of the left and a pixel $q$ of the right image
Results

• The window size is a crucial parameter
Untextured Regions

(a) Left image

(b) Right image

Multiple points fit equally well. What is the correct disparity?
Aperture Problem

• There needs to be a certain amount of texture with vertical orientation

(a) Left image

(b) Right image

Texture with only horizontal orientation

Multiple points fit equally well. What is the correct disparity?
Repetitive Patterns

(a) Left image

Multiple points fit equally well. What is the correct disparity?

(b) Right image
Effects of these Problems

Window size = 3x3 pixels
Stereo Matching Summary

• One of fundamental computer vision problems
• A large variety of methods have been published
• Key idea: use global optimization to take into account more information than individual pixels
• See
  – http://vision.middlebury.edu/stereo/eval3/
Multi-View Stereo

- See CS 532
Structure from Motion
Structure from Motion

- Reconstruct
  - Scene geometry
  - Camera motion
Input: Feature Tracks

- Detect good features
  - corners, line segments
- Find correspondences between frames
  - Lucas & Kanade-style motion estimation
  - window-based correlation
Structure from Motion

• Given many points in correspondence across several images, \( \{(u_{ij}, v_{ij})\} \), simultaneously compute the 3D location \( x_i \) and camera (or motion) parameters \( (K, R_j, t_j) \)

\[
\hat{u}_{ij} = f(K, R_j, t_j, x_i)
\]

\[
\hat{v}_{ij} = g(K, R_j, t_j, x_i)
\]

• Two main variants: calibrated, and uncalibrated (sometimes associated with Euclidean and projective reconstructions)
Number of Constraints

\[ \hat{u}_{ij} = f(K, R_j, t_j, x_i) \]
\[ \hat{v}_{ij} = g(K, R_j, t_j, x_i) \]

- How many points do we need to match?
- 2 frames:
  \((R, t)\): 5 dof + 3n point locations \(\leq\)
  4n point measurements \(\Rightarrow n \geq 5\)

- \(k\) frames:
  \(6(k-1)-1 + 3n \leq 2kn\)
- always want to use many more

\(\Rightarrow\) why 5 dof for 2 cameras and \(6(k-1)-1\) for \(k\) cameras?
Bundle Adjustment

• What makes this non-linear minimization hard?
  – many parameters: potentially slow
  – poorer conditioning (high correlation)
  – potentially lots of outliers
  – gauge (coordinate) freedom
Structure from Motion

• Given a set of corresponding points in two or more images, compute the camera parameters and the 3D point coordinates.

\[ \begin{align*}
\mathbf{R}_1, t_1 \\
\mathbf{R}_2, t_2 \\
\mathbf{R}_3, t_3 
\end{align*} \]
Structure from Motion

• Given: $m$ images of $n$ fixed 3D points
  
  • $x_{ij} = P_i X_j$, $i = 1, \ldots, m, j = 1, \ldots, n$

• Problem: estimate $m$ projection matrices $P_i$ and $n$ 3D points $X_j$ from the $mn$ correspondences $x_{ij}$
Structure from Motion Ambiguity

- If we scale the entire scene by some factor $k$ and, at the same time, scale the camera matrices by the factor of $1/k$, the projections of the scene points in the image remain exactly the same:

$$x = PX = \left( \frac{1}{k} \mathbf{P} \right) (kX)$$

It is impossible to recover the absolute scale of the scene!
Structure from Motion Ambiguity

• More generally: if we transform the scene using a transformation $Q$ and apply the inverse transformation to the camera matrices, then the images do not change

$$x = PX = \left( PQ^{-1} \right)(QX)$$
### Types of Ambiguity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Transformation Matrix</th>
<th>Preserves Intersection and Tangency</th>
<th>Preserves Parallelism, Volume Ratios</th>
<th>Preserves Angles, Ratios of Length</th>
<th>Preserves Angles, Lengths</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Projective      | \[
A \quad t \\
v^T \quad v
\] | ![Projective](image) | |  |  |
| 15dof           | ![Projective](image) | ![Projective](image) |  |  |  |
| Affine          | \[
A \quad t \\
0^T \quad 1
\] | ![Affine](image) | |  |  |
| 12dof           | ![Affine](image) | ![Affine](image) |  |  |  |
| Similarity      | \[
s \quad R \quad t \\
0^T \quad 1
\] | ![Similarity](image) | |  |  |
| 7dof            | ![Similarity](image) | ![Similarity](image) |  |  |  |
| Euclidean       | \[
R \quad t \\
0^T \quad 1
\] | ![Euclidean](image) | |  |  |
| 6dof            | ![Euclidean](image) | ![Euclidean](image) |  |  |  |

- With no constraints on the camera calibration matrix or on the scene, we get a *projective* reconstruction.
- Need additional information to *upgrade* the reconstruction to affine, similarity, or Euclidean.


Projective Ambiguity

\[ Q_p = \begin{bmatrix} A & t \\ v^T & v \end{bmatrix} \]

\[ x = PX = \left( PQ_p^{-1} \right) (Q_p X) \]
Affine Ambiguity

\[ Q_A = \begin{bmatrix} A & t \\ 0^T & 1 \end{bmatrix} \]

\[ x = Px = \left( PQ_A^{-1} \right) \left( Q_A x \right) \]
Affine Ambiguity
Similarity Ambiguity

\[ Q_s = \begin{bmatrix} sR & t \\ 0^\top & 1 \end{bmatrix} \]

\[ x = PX = \left( PQ_s^{-1} \right) (Q_sX) \]
Similarity Ambiguity
Structure from Motion: Perspective Cameras
Projective Structure from Motion

• Given: \( m \) images of \( n \) fixed 3D points
  
  • \( x_{ij} = P_i X_j, \quad i = 1, \ldots, m, \quad j = 1, \ldots, n \)

• Problem: estimate \( m \) projection matrices \( P_i \) and \( n \) 3D points \( X_j \) from the \( mn \) correspondences \( x_{ij} \)
Projective Structure from Motion

• Given: $m$ images of $n$ fixed 3D points
  
  • $z_{ij} \ x_{ij} = P_i \ X_j$, $i = 1, \ldots, m$, $j = 1, \ldots, n$

• Problem: estimate $m$ projection matrices $P_i$ and $n$ 3D points $X_j$ from the $mn$ correspondences $x_{ij}$

• With no calibration info, cameras and points can only be recovered up to a 4x4 projective transformation $Q$:
  
  • $X \rightarrow QX$, $P \rightarrow PQ^{-1}$

• We can solve for structure and motion when
  
  • $2mn \geq 11m + 3n - 15$

• For two cameras, at least 7 points are needed
Projective SFM: Two-camera Case

- Compute fundamental matrix $F$ between the two views
- First camera matrix: $[I|0]$  
- Second camera matrix: $[A|b]$  
- Then $b$ is the epipole ($F^Tb = 0$), $A = -[b_x]F$
Sequential Structure from Motion

• Initialize motion from two images using fundamental matrix

• Initialize structure by triangulation

• For each additional view:
  – Determine projection matrix of new camera using all the known 3D points that are visible in its image - *calibration*
Sequential Structure from Motion

• Initialize motion from two images using fundamental matrix

• Initialize structure by triangulation

• For each additional view:
  – Determine projection matrix of new camera using all the known 3D points that are visible in its image - calibration

  – Refine and extend structure: compute new 3D points, re-optimize existing points that are also seen by this camera - triangulation
Sequential Structure from motion

• Initialize motion from two images using fundamental matrix

• Initialize structure by triangulation

• For each additional view:
  – Determine projection matrix of new camera using all the known 3D points that are visible in its image - *calibration*
  – Refine and extend structure: compute new 3D points, re-optimize existing points that are also seen by this camera - *triangulation*

• Refine structure and motion: bundle adjustment
Bundle Adjustment

• Non-linear method for refining structure and motion
• Minimizing reprojection error

\[
E(P, X) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} D(x_{ij}, P_iX_j)^2
\]
Self-calibration

• Self-calibration (auto-calibration) is the process of determining intrinsic camera parameters directly from uncalibrated images.

• For example, when the images are acquired by a single moving camera, we can use the constraint that the intrinsic parameter matrix remains fixed for all the images.
  – Compute initial projective reconstruction and find 3D projective transformation matrix \( Q \) such that all camera matrices are in the form \( P_i = K [R_i | t_i] \).

• Can use constraints on the form of the calibration matrix: zero skew.

• Can use vanishing points.
Triangulation: Linear Solution

- Generally, rays $C \rightarrow x$ and $C' \rightarrow x'$ will not exactly intersect.
- Can solve via SVD, finding a least squares solution to a system of equations

$$AX = 0 \quad A = \begin{bmatrix} u p_3^T - p_1^T & v p_3^T - p_2^T & u' p'_3^T - p'_1^T & v' p'_3^T - p'_2^T \end{bmatrix}$$

From $x \times PX = 0$ and $x' \times PX' = 0$
Triangulation: Linear Solution

Given $P, P', x, x'$

1. Precondition points and projection matrices

2. Create matrix $A$

3. $[U, S, V] = \text{svd}(A)$

4. $X = V(:, \text{end})$

Pros and Cons

• Works for any number of corresponding images

• Not projectively invariant
Triangulation: Non-linear Solution

- Minimize projected error while satisfying

\[ \hat{x}'^T F \hat{x} = 0 \]

\[
\text{cost}(X) = \text{dist}(x, \hat{x})^2 + \text{dist}(x', \hat{x}')^2
\]
Triangulation: Non-linear Solution

• Minimize projected error while satisfying
  \[ \hat{x}'^T F \hat{x} = 0 \]
  
  \[ \text{cost}(X) = \text{dist}(x, \hat{x})^2 + \text{dist}(x', \hat{x}')^2 \]

• Solution is a 6-degree polynomial of \( t \), minimizing
  \[ d(x, l(t))^2 + d(x', l'(t))^2 \]
Bundle Adjustment
Bundle Adjustment

- Refines a visual reconstruction to produce jointly optimal 3D structure and viewing parameters.

- ‘Bundle’ refers to the bundle of light rays leaving each 3D feature and converging on each camera center.
Reprojection Error

Reprojection error: \[ \| \mathbf{q}_{ij} - P(C_i, X_j) \| \]

Objective function:
\[
g(C, X) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} w_{ij} \| \mathbf{q}_{ij} - P(C_i, X_j) \|^2
\]

Indicator variable:
- 1 if point $j$ is visible in camera $i$
- 0 otherwise
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Notation

- Structure and Cameras being parameterized by a single large vector \( \mathbf{x} \)
- Small displacement in \( \mathbf{x} \) represented by \( \partial \mathbf{x} \)
- Observations denoted by \( \bar{z} \)
- Predicted values at parameter value \( \mathbf{x} \), denoted by \( z = z(\mathbf{x}) \)
- Residual prediction error, \( \Delta z(\mathbf{x}) = \bar{z} - z(\mathbf{x}) \)
Objective Function

• Minimization of weighted sum of squared error (SSE) cost function:

\[ f(x) \equiv \frac{1}{2} \sum_i \Delta z_i(x)^T W_i \Delta z_i(x), \quad \Delta z_i(x) \equiv z_i - z_i(x) \]
Optimization Techniques

• Gradient Descent Method
• Newton-Raphson Method
• Gauss - Newton Method
• Levenberg - Marquardt Method
Additional Material and Software

• Open Source Structure-from-Motion tutorial at CVPR 2015

• Advanced notes on bundle adjustment

• Tutorials on several popular open source SfM packages
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